IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.475 OF 2004

.....

Office Notes, Office Memorandum of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	**Court's or Judges orders**

.....

Shri. Dilip Bodake for the Applicant.
Shri. R.Y. Mirza, A.P.P. for the Respondent.

CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.
DATE : SEPTEMBER 26, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard Shri. Bodake appearing for the Applicant. It appears that the State has not preferred any Appeal against acquittal. After having heard the Advocates appearing for the parties, I find that trial court has appreciated the oral and the documentary evidence on record. In fact, finding has been recorded that evidence of prosecution witnesses Nos. 1 to 5 is untrustworthy and there are contradictions and inconsistencies in the evidence. The incident seems to have taken place as observed by the Trial Court as a result of rivalry

between the parties relating to elections of Gram Panchayat.

2. Evidence cannot be re-appreciated in the Revision Application. There is no manifest illegality or procedural irregularity in the impugned order. Hence, Application is rejected.

JUDGE